Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 8, 06101, 2006 SRef-ID: © European Geosciences Union 2006



Issues in the calibration of an acoustic bedload sensor in mountain rivers, Japan

T. Mizuyama (1), **J. B. Laronne** (2), Y. Satofuka (1), T. Sawada (3), S. Yamashita (4), Y. Sako (4), A. Tamaki (4), M. Nonaka (5)

(1) Department of Forestry, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, 60682 Kyoto, Japan, (2) Department of Geography and Environmental Development, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel, (3) Hodaka Sedimentation Observatory, Research Center for Fluvial and Coastal Disasters, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Nakao, Okuhidaonsen-gou, Takayama 605-1422, Gifu, Japan, (3) Sumiko Consultants, Sabo and Disaster Prevention Department, 18-4 Kitaueno 2-Chome, Taito-Ku, 110-0014 Tokyo, Japan, (4) HydroTech, 876 Kouzukeda Hino-tyo 529-1642, Gamougun, Japan(mizuyama@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp / Phone: +81- 75-753608)(john@bgu.ac.il / Phone: +972-8-6472002)

The continuous transport of coarse bedload has previously been indirectly monitored in rivers and flumes, but has not yet been calibrated by comparison with continuously operating samplers. We have examined an acoustic system has been deployed immediately above a recording Reid (Birkbeck type) pit bedload sampler in several mountain rivers in Japan. The acoustic system consists of a hydrophone connected to a pipe set across a sill along the entire width of a concrete flume as well as an amplifier, filter and logger. We report on system calibration in the gravelly Nishi-takiga-tani Brook in the Rokko Mountains near Kobe and the Ashi-arai-tani Brook in the higher Hodaka Mountains. These respectively drain 1.5 km^2 of steep granitic terrain typified by low bedload fluxes and infrequent flow events and 6.5 of km² of steep erodible volcanics with elevated bedload yields which have been monitored since the 1980's. Sensor and sampler sensitivities are similar (about 50 g/s into the sampler). At higher flux correlation improves, acoustic response typically explaining 80% of the variance in flux. At very elevated fluxes the acoustic system ceases to respond either due to saturation or due to being covered by gravel sheets. An advantage of the acoustic system is that it continues to monitor bedload flux after the sampler fills, allowing continuous monitoring of bedload during long (several days) duration even in mountainous rivers with high bedload yields.