



## **A bibliographic reference work of debris-flow monitoring devices and methods**

**Y. Itakura** (1), H. Inaba (2), and T. Sawada (3)

1. Shiga University, Hiratsu 2-5-1, Otsu, Japan (itakura@sue.shiga-u.ac.jp / phone +81-77-537-7727)
2. Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Kyoto, Japan (inaba@ice.dj.kit.ac.jp / phone +81-75-724-7749)
3. DPRI Hotaka, Kyoto University, Kamitakara, Gifu, Japan (sawad@yc5.so-net.ne.jp / phone +81-578-9-2154)

Debris-flow monitoring has two useful functions, warning and modeling. Warning requires some parameters of the debris-flow, occurrence prediction and detection, proximity sensing, and discharge estimation. Models based on the parameters of the debris-flow obtained from the monitoring sensor can make the basis for creating a hazards map and designing various types of control structures to prevent or mitigate its hazards.

A comparison list of many devices and methods for debris-flow monitoring is shown in this presentation. They are divided four groups. The first one includes Euler group to measure debris-flow parameters at a stationary point in the field. The second group is Lagrange group to obtain the parameters by moving together with the debris-flow. The parameters are indirectly deduced by statistical analyses of the geographic and/or geological data or image processing of the remote sensing data. Such data are provided by Satellite sensor, GPS (Global Positioning System), or GIS (Geographic Information System). The forth group, field-survey group, acquires directly the parameters in the field. Some of them are in operational field use or in feasibility test.

Advanced studies may lead to a breakthrough in future developments of debris-flow monitoring. An example is an integrated sensing system combined with some devices and methods or an image-processing network of debris-flow video-images taken around the world.